

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA**

SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00950-LO-JFA

**CONSENT MOTION FOR BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN CONNECTION WITH COX'S
MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE JUDGMENT UNDER RULE 60(B)(3) AND
REQUEST FOR INDICATIVE RULING UNDER RULE 62.1 AND RELATED SEALING
MOTIONS AND FOR PARTIES AND NON-PARTIES TO EACH FILE A SINGLE
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF RELATED SEALING MOTIONS**

Pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 5(C), Plaintiffs, Defendants, and interested non-parties Audible Magic, MarkMonitor, and the Recording Industry Association of America (“RIAA”) jointly request the Court’s permission (1) to set a briefing schedule in connection with Cox’s Motion for Relief from the Judgment Under Rule 60(b)(3) and Request for Indicative Ruling Under Rule 62.1 (the “Rule 60 Motion”), and related sealing motions, and (2) to each file a single memorandum in support of requests to seal submitted in connection with briefing on the Rule 60 Motion.

This Consent Motion is based on the following grounds:

1. On December 27, 2021, Defendants Cox Communications, Inc. and CoxCom, LLC (together, “Cox”) filed the Rule 60 Motion. ECF No. 737.
2. In connection with its Rule 60 Motion, Cox filed a Motion to Seal. ECF No. 740. The Rule 60 Motion referenced and attached as exhibits documents and information designated

by the parties and/or various non-parties as Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only or Confidential under the Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 58).

3. Plaintiffs intend to file an opposition to Cox’s Rule 60 Motion, and Cox intends to file a reply in support of its Rule 60 Motion, which they expect will or may attach additional confidential information of the same parties and non-parties. Plaintiffs and Cox expect to file motions to seal in connection with those briefs, as applicable.

4. The parties have agreed to and jointly propose a briefing schedule that provides additional time for Plaintiffs to file their opposition and for Cox to file its reply:

- a. Plaintiffs would file their opposition on February 9, 2022; and
- b. Cox would file its reply on March 2, 2022.

5. In the interest of efficiency, judicial economy, and avoiding inconsistent rulings, the parties and non-parties jointly request the Court’s permission to each file one single memorandum in support of the numerous requests to seal in connection with the Rule 60 Motion, Plaintiffs’ opposition thereto, and/or Cox’s reply in support (collectively, the “Rule 60 Briefing”). They further request that the information subject to the sealing motions in connection with the Rule 60 Briefing be maintained under seal pending resolution of the respective seal motions.

6. The procedural prerequisites for sealing will still be met. The parties will provide a supporting memorandum as required by Local Civil Rule 5(C), but in a consolidated form that avoids duplicative filings.

7. Granting this motion will not cause any prejudice to the parties or non-parties, nor impact the public’s access to judicial records. Each interested party and non-party will still have ample notice and an opportunity to reasonably object.

8. Plaintiffs, Defendants, Audible Magic, MarkMonitor, and RIAA all consent to the relief requested herein.

9. Based on the foregoing, the parties propose the following schedule for the remaining Rule 60 Briefing and related motions to seal:

| Date | Deadlines |
|------------------|---|
| February 9, 2022 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Cox's Rule 60(b)(3) Motion (ECF No. 737). Plaintiffs to file a Motion to Seal and Notice of Sealed Filings, if applicable. |
| March 2, 2022 | Cox's Reply in support of its Rule 60(b)(3) Motion (ECF No. 737). Cox to file a Motion to Seal and Notice of Sealed Filings, if applicable. |
| March 9, 2022 | Plaintiffs and Cox to each file a single memorandum in support of the other parties' Motions to Seal filed in connection with the Rule 60 Briefing. |
| March 16, 2022 | Audible Magic, MarkMonitor, and RIAA to each file a single memorandum in support of the parties' Motions to Seal filed in connection with the Rule 60 Briefing. |

Dated January 3, 2022

/s/ Scott A. Zebrak

Scott A. Zebrak (VSB No. 38729)
 Matthew J. Oppenheim (*pro hac vice*)
 Jeffrey M. Gould (*pro hac vice*)
 OPPENHEIM + ZEBRAK, LLP
 4530 Wisconsin Avenue NW, 5th Floor
 Washington, DC 20015
 Tel: (202) 480-2999
 Fax: (866) 766-1678
 scott@oandzlaw.com
 matt@oandzlaw.com
 jeff@oandzlaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Recording
 Industry Association of America*

s/ Thomas M. Buchanan

Thomas M. Buchanan (VSB No. 21530)
J. Tyler McGaughey (VSB No. 78809)
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
1901 L Street NW
Washington, DC 20036
Tel: (202) 282-5000
Fax: (202) 282-5100
Email: TBuchana@winston.com
Email: TMcGaughey@winston.com

Attorneys for Defendants

/s/ Julia K. Whitelock

Julia K. Whitelock, Esq. (VSB #79328)
Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP
1101 King Street, Suite 520
Alexandria, VA 22314
202.399.1009
202.800.2999 (Facsimile)
jwhitelock@grsm.com

Counsel for Nonparty MarkMonitor, Inc.

/s/ Michael H. Jacobs

Michael H. Jacobs
Virginia Bar No. 41006
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116
mjacobs@crowell.com

Gabriel Ramsey (*pro hac vice*)
CROWELL & MORING LLP
3 Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-2800
Facsimile: (415) 986-2827
gramsey@crowell.com

Attorneys for Nonparty Audible Magic Corp.